# **Clinical Use of Peptide-Based Formula (Peptamen** Junior<sup>®</sup>, Nestle) in the Paediatric Population

## Kristyn Ford<sup>1,\*</sup>, Heather Gilbertson<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Bachelor of Nutrition and Dietetics, Accredited Practising Dietitian (APD), Department of Nutrition and Dietetics, The Royal Children's Hospital, Melbourne Australia, Address: 50 Flemington Road, Parkville VIC 3052 <sup>2</sup>Doctor of Philosophy (PhD), Accredited Practising Dietitian (APD), Manger, Department of Nutrition and Dietetics, The Royal Children's Hospital, Melbourne Australia.

#### **Corresponding author:**

pen access Pub

**Review Article** 

Kristyn Ford, Bachelor of Nutrition and Dietetics, Accredited Practising Dietitian (APD), Department of Nutrition and Dietetics, The Royal Children's Hospital, Melbourne Australia.

#### **Keywords**:

Enteral Nutrition, Food Formulated, Paediatrics, Malabsorption, Malnutrition

#### **Running Title:**

Peptide Formula in Clinical Paediatrics

**Received:** Dec 29, 2021

Accepted: Jan 06, 2022

**Published:** Jan 29, 2022

#### **Editor:**

Anubha Bajaj, Consultant Histopathology, A.B. Diagnostics, New Delhi, India DOI: 10.14302/issn.2379-7835.ijn-21-4059

#### Abstract

#### Aim

There is limited published data describing the characteristics of the paediatric population prescribed semi elemental formulas. This retrospective observational audit aimed to describe the characteristics of the paediatric patients who have been prescribed a hydrolysed whey protein, medium chain triglycerides (MCT) based formula, Peptamen Junior® and the nutritional outcomes.

#### **Methods**

A retrospective observation audit was completed on a cohort of patients that was prescribed a semi elemental formula between 2016 and 2019 from a single tertiary paediatric medical centre. Data variables were collated such as patient characteristics, indications and modalities of administration, duration and tolerance to the formula.

#### **Results**



Data was collated on 375 patients with a median age of 6.2 years. The main underlying medical conditions were haematological/oncology (67%), gastrointestinal disorders (10.7%) and neurological conditions (9.4%). The most common indications for use were chemotherapy related side effects (36.2%), post bone marrow transplant (25.8%) and gastrointestinal symptoms (17.9%). The formula was rarely used as a sole source of nutrition, with 88% patients requiring accompanying forms of nutrition support. The majority of orders prescribed were of standard concentration (80.8%) and tolerance was recorded in 82.8% of patients.

#### Conclusion

The semi elemental formula Peptamen Junior® appears to be well tolerated in paediatric patients with a variety of medical conditions that have complex pathologies and may have wider scope of use in a more diverse group of medical conditions than currently indicated.

#### Introduction

Paediatric malnutrition is defined as an imbalance between nutrient requirements and intake. <sup>1,2</sup> The failure of the nutritional supply to meet the energy, protein and micronutrient needs in children may negatively affect the growth and development, resulting in faltering growth. Children within the hospital setting are at increased risk of malnutrition due to insufficient nutritional intake, malabsorption or increased needs due to chronic or acute illness. In this nutritionally vulnerable population additional nutrition support may be required in the form of enteral nutrition. <sup>1</sup>

When enteral nutrition support is indicated, there are several different types of formula available. They are usually described in accordance with their protein and/or lipid source and prescribed based on clinical condition. <sup>3,4</sup> Polymeric formulas contain whole/intact proteins, carbohydrates and long chain triglycerides, and are generally used in those with a functioning digestive system. Semi elemental formulas contain peptides of varying chain length, carbohydrates and the majority of medium chain triglycerides (MCT) and are usually indicated for digestion and absorption problems or pancreatic insufficiency. Elemental formulas contain amino acids, carbohydrates and a majority of long chain triglycerides (LCT) with some MCT and are indicated in cases of severe food protein allergies. <sup>3,4,5</sup>

It has been suggested that the presence of branched chain amino acids (BCAA)-containing dipeptides and tripeptides from whey protein hydrolysates (WHP) are more bioavailable in semi elemental formulas. Advantages of this include enhancing intestinal nitrogen absorption and balance, maintenance of gut integrity, reduction of bacterial translocation, improved protein synthesis and enhanced immune support. This would contribute to an absorptive advantage compared with elemental or polymeric formulas. <sup>4,6</sup>

Additionally, the type of lipid influences absorption, as MCTs are passively absorbed in the intestine and do not require the presence of bile salt, pancreatic enzymes or chylomicrons to be transported to the bloodstream. <sup>5,7</sup> In clinical practice, the use of semi elemental or elemental formulas tend to be in situations where the use of polymeric formulas have failed or if failure of polymeric formula's is expected/predicted because the presence of malabsorption or maldigestion issues.

There is limited published data describing the characteristics of the paediatric population prescribed semi elemental formulas. This retrospective observational audit aimed to describe the characteristics of the paediatric patients who have been prescribed a hydrolysed whey protein, MCT based formula, Peptamen Junior® and the nutritional outcomes.

#### Method

This retrospective observational audit was completed at a single tertiary paediatric medical centre in Australia. All patients who were prescribed Peptamen Junior® during the period 5<sup>th</sup> May 2016 to 31<sup>st</sup> December 2019 were included in the audit. The inclusion dates coincided with the implementation of the Electronic



Medical Records (EMR) at the centre which enabled electronic extraction of the data. This audit was approved by the research ethics committee of the institution.

Data was collected retrospectively from the EMR using an individualised report that filtered specifically for the use of Peptamen Junior® during the designated time period. It included data variables such as patients characteristics – age, sex, medical condition and feeding regimen.

Data relative to the administration of the formula used for enteral feeding were also recorded: clinical reason or indication for use, route of delivery: oral versus enteral feeding tube (EFT), duration of feeding regime, recipe/concentration: standard or non-standard concentration and any other accompanying nutrition support.

Tolerance to enteral feed was also assessed. A patient was defined as tolerating Peptamen Junior® formula if they remained on this formula or were able to transition to a whole protein feed and/or oral diet or a ready to feed (RTF) alternative brand. Not tolerating was defined as a patient requiring a step down to an elemental formula or parenteral nutrition (PN). Noting that the use of PN did not necessary reflect intolerance to Peptamen Junior® but rather a medical condition that precluded tolerance to enteral feeding.

Patients were excluded from the audit if they were commenced on the formula prior to the inclusion time period or commenced at external institutions.

Data is reported as means or medians (with ranges) for continuous variables and as proportions and percentages for categorical data.

#### **Results**

A total of 375 patients were identified using the EMR report extract to have used Peptamen Junior® during the specified time period. Of this total 318 patients were included in the data analysis and 57 patients were excluded as they were commenced on the formula prior to the specified time period, managed at other institutions,

ordered and not commenced (requirement of PN or EFT not inserted or change to whole protein formula) or an incorrect order was placed (patient requiring infant peptide formula) (Figure 1).

There were 172 males (54%) and 146 females (46%). The median age was 6.2 years (range 1 to 19.3 years). The underlying medical condition was diverse and included 213 (67%) patients with a haematological/ oncology, 34 (10.7%) gastrointestinal disorder, 30 (9.4%) neurological disorder including cerebral palsy (CP), 23 (7.2%) other conditions such as faltering growth, nephrology, metabolic and surgical, 11 (3.5%) respiratory disease and 7 (2.2%) with heart conditions (Table 1).

The reason for commencement of the hydrolysed whey protein, MCT based formula Peptamen Junior® is outlined in table 1. The most common reasons for commencing Peptamen Junior® was due to chemotherapy related side effects, 115 (36.2%) and post bone marrow transplant (BMT) 82 (25.8%). 57 (17.9%) sited gastrointestinal symptoms such as vomiting, reflux or diarrhoea and 45 (14.2%) malabsorption conditions including 30 (9.4%) liver disease, 7 (2.2%) cystic fibrosis (pancreatic insufficiency), 5 (1.6%) short gut and 3 (0.9%) generalised fat malabsorption. 9 (2.8%) cow's milk protein intolerance and 8 (2.5%) had an unclear clinical reason for commencing Peptamen Junior®.

The most common route of delivery was via an enteral feeding tube (EFT). The most common EFT being nasogastric 231 (72.6%), followed by gastrostomy, 58 (18.2%), jejunostomy 11 (3.5%) and nasojejunal 7 (2.2%). Very few patients drank the formula orally 11 (3.5%) (Table 1).

The majority of patients had accompanying sources of nutrition (Figure 2). Parental nutrition was used in 153 (48%) of patients in addition to Peptamen Junior® and/or oral diet, with only 38 (11.9%) of patients receiving Peptamen Junior® as their sole source of nutrition.

Of the 318 patients prescribed Peptamen Junior®, 262 (82.5%) patients commenced and ceased the use of





penoccessPub -----

 Table 1. Documented indication for commencing Peptamen Junior®

Gender	Number	Percentage (%)           54.1		
Jenuer	(n=318)			
Male	172			
Female	146	45.9		
Medical Condition				
Haematological/Oncology Conditions	213	67.0		
Gastrointestinal Disorders	34	10.7		
Neurological Disorders	30	9.4		
Other (including Faltering Growth, Nephrology, Metabolic, surgical)	23	7.2		
Respiratory Disease	11	3.5		
Heart Conditions	7	2.2		
Reason/Indication				
Chemotherapy	115	36.2		
Bone Marrow Transplant (including Graft vs Host Disease)	84	26.4		
Gastrointestinal Symptoms (Vomiting, Reflux, and Diarrhoea)	57	17.9		
Malabsorption Conditions				
Liver Disease	30	9.4		
Cystic Fibrosis (Pancreatic Insufficiency)	7	2.2		
Short Gut	5	1.6		
Generalised Fat Malabsorption	3	0.9		
Cow's Milk Protein Intolerance	9	2.8		
Unclear	8	2.5		
Route of Delivery				
Nasogastric Feeding Tube	231	72.6		
Gastrostomy Feeding Tube	58	18.2		
Jejunostomy Feeding Tube	11	3.5		
Oral	11	3.5		
Nasojejunal Feeding Tube	7	2.2		

**Citation:** Kristyn Ford, Heather Gilbertson (2022) Clinical Use of Peptide-Based Formula (Peptamen Junior®, Nestle) in the Paediatric Population. International Journal of Nutrition - 6(4):35-45. https://doi.org/10.14302/issn.2379-7835.ijn-21-4059



Table 2. Clinical setting and orders for each concentration of Peptamen junior® for those patients who commenced and ceased the formula during the study period (n=262).

Clinical setting	Number (n=262)						Percen	Percentage (%)			
Inpatient	236						90.1	90.1			
Inpatient and Outpatient	14						5.3	5.3			
Outpatient	12					4.6	4.6				
		Standard Concentration (kJ/100ml)		Non-Standard Concentration (kJ/100ml) <sup>a</sup>		n	Other				
	Total	429	536	643	<429	429-536	536-643	>645	Transition <sup>b</sup>	c	
Number of patients prescribed each formula concentration (%)	262	238 (52.4)	65 (14.3)	64 (14.1)	4 (0.9)	18 (4.0)	5 (1.1)	14 (3.1)	45 (9.9)	1 (0.2)	
Total Number of Days	15593	6814	1962	3295	68	2072	297	903	95	87	
Median Number of Days	23	15	11	11	9	30	4	11	1	87	
Average Number of Days	60	29	30	51	17	115	59	65	2	87	
Minimum Number of Days	1	1	1	1	2	1	2	1	1	0	
Maximum Number of Days	897	335	538	411	49	897	278	413	10	87	

<sup>a</sup> Non-standard concentration: non-standard recipes and recipes that have additives included such as fibre, protein, thickener/ pectin, multivitamin supplement, oral rehydration solution and specialised metabolic formulas

<sup>b</sup> Transition: transition from Peptamen Junior® to standard polymeric feeds. The two types of formulas were mixed together in increasing/decreasing concentrations as grading over.

<sup>c</sup> Other: Peptamen Junior® given as a paste







**Citation:** Kristyn Ford, Heather Gilbertson (2022) Clinical Use of Peptide-Based Formula (Peptamen Junior®, Nestle) in the Paediatric Population. International Journal of Nutrition - 6(4):35-45. https://doi.org/10.14302/issn.2379-7835.ijn-21-4059

**Pen Occess** Pub

Peptamen Junior<sup>®</sup> during the time period. The number of orders prescribed, concentration and tolerance were assessed in this group.

Of the 262 patients within this group, 236 (90.1%) were prescribed Peptamen Junior® whilst an inpatient indicating that it is used in periods of active medical treatment when gut insult is more likely. Only 12 (4.6%) patients had Peptamen Junior® as an outpatient and 14 (5.3%) in both the outpatient and inpatient setting (Table 2).

Within this group the median number and average number of different formula concentrations that each patient was prescribed was 1 and 1.7 (range 1 to 7) respectively.

Table 2 indicates the number of orders prescribed and the number of days on each of the different formula concentration for those patients who commenced and ceased the use of Peptamen Junior® during the study period.

The majority of the orders prescribed were the standard concentration of 429kJ/100ml, accounting for 238 (52.4%) of the orders, followed by 536kJ/100ml for 65 (14.3%) orders, and 636kJ/100ml for 64 (14.1%) orders. Non-standard concentrations that are not reflective of usual clinical practice were less common, however were used in situations to ensure nutritional adequacy. There were only 45 (9.9%) orders that were included in the transition from Peptamen Junior® to standard polymeric formula. Interestingly, the median number of days of Peptamen Junior® feeding duration was highest in the non-standard 429-536kJ/100ml group with 30 days, however the range was great from 1 to 897 days. This was largely due to one patient with short gut syndrome requiring Peptamen Junior® for a prolonged period. Excluding this outlier, the medium number of days on this concentration of formula was 26 days.

The tolerance to Peptamen Junior® was defined as previously outlined and was assessed for each patient (Figure 3). According to our definition for tolerance, 217 patients (82.8%) tolerated Peptamen Junior® and were transitioned to either a whole protein formula or oral diet or a convenient ready to feed (RTF) option. 43 patients (16.5%) did not tolerate Peptamen Junior®, with 13 patients (5%) requiring an elemental formula, 18 (6.9%) requiring cessation of enteral nutrition and 12 (4.6%) were deceased. These outcomes are indicative of the severity this cohort's clinical condition. PN was utilised in 130 (49.6%) of patients, including 109 (41.6%) who were defined as tolerating Peptamen Junior® indicating that PN was required to ensure nutritional adequacy.

#### **Discussion**

This was a large retrospective observational audit that describes the characteristics of paediatric patients and their associated medical conditions that were prescribed the hydrolysed whey protein formula, Peptamen Junior® for their nutritional requirements.

It was observed that the largest patient group for which the use of Peptamen Junior® was most commonly prescribed for was the haematology/oncology patient group for which there is currently no clinically recognised guideline regarding the type of formula that should be prescribed for this cohort. Although not described in detail in this report, the vast majority of the patients within this haematology/oncology group were changed from a polymeric formula to Peptamen Junior® when significant gastrointestinal symptoms such as mucositis and diarrhoea were evident or if significant gut toxicities were anticipated in treatment modalities such as post bone marrow transplant (BMT). The rationale for this is the theoretical benefit of semi elemental formulas being more easily digested, providing improved nutrient absorption, which is supported by the tolerance data reported in this study.

Other significant medical conditions that were identified in our cohort of patients prescribed Peptamen Junior® included liver disease, crohn's disease, short gut, cystic fibrosis and cerebral palsy. Studies have shown use of high MCT and BCAA formulas in paediatric patients with liver disease have shown some potential benefit by improving lean body mass and protein retention, however the evidence is quite limited.<sup>7</sup> Similarly, some potential



benefit has been reported for the use of semi elemental formulas in paediatric patients with crohn's disease such increased weight and height velocity, improve as nutritional status and a decrease in disease activity.<sup>8,9</sup> In conditions such as short bowel syndrome, it has been suggested that there may be nutritional benefits in the use elemental formulas due to of semi enhanced micronutrient and nitrogen absorption however, there is limited randomised control trials to support the use of semi elemental or amino acid based formulas in these patients. 4,10,11,12

In patients with cystic fibrosis, there is limited evidence to the efficacy of elemental or semi elemental feeds over polymeric feeds. However, it is believed that semi elemental and elemental formulas may be more easily absorbed with the benefits of not requiring out pancreatic enzyme replacement therapy due to the high proportion of MCT fat. <sup>13,14,15</sup>

Studies in paediatric patients with cerebral palsy and/or gastrointestinal dysfunction have shown some benefit with the use of semi elemental whey protein hydrolysate with reports of significant reduction in degree of regurgitation, gastric emptying times and gagging. <sup>16,17,18</sup>

The clinical reason or indication for use in our cohort of patients was vast. Peptamen Junior® was predominantly prescribed in patients that had chemotherapy related side effects or BMT treatments. The clinical indication of fat malabsorption (due to liver disease, short gut, cystic fibrosis or general fat malabsorption) for which Peptamen Junior® is currently scheduled for on the Pharmaceutical Benefits Scheme (PBS) only made up 14% of our cohort.

In our cohort the vast majority of patients received Peptamen Junior® via an EFT, with very few patients drinking the formula orally. Peptamen Junior® was often prescribed in addition to other forms of nutrition support, such as oral diet or PN. Of our 318 patients, nearly half (48%) were receiving PN simultaneously, which is likely to reflect the severity of gastrointestinal dysfunction in these particular groups of paediatric patients and requirement of PN to ensure nutritional adequacy. It also supports the premise of the importance of trophic feeds during periods of gastrointestinal dysfunction to maintain gut mucosal structure, encourages adaptation and reduces the risk of PN associated liver disease.<sup>19</sup> Consideration of an enteral formula that can be tolerated as a trophic enteral feed is also of significance.

Additionally, 82% commenced and ceased the use of Peptamen Junior® in our specified time period, whilst 90% had only inpatient orders, indicating that it is a formula that is used temporarily, in periods where other forms of nutrition support are unable to be tolerated or able to meet nutritional needs of patients. 83% of patients were defined as tolerating Peptamen Junior®. It was interesting to note that 42% of patients that were defined as tolerating Peptamen Junior®, also required PN.

The concentration of Peptamen Junior® ordered was varied. It was noted that 81% of the orders were considered standard concentrations as per the company's recommendations. There was а proportion of non-standard concentrations ordered in our cohort, indicating that clinical practice was adapted to the individual requirements in this vulnerable group. The duration on which patients were receiving Peptamen Junior<sup>®</sup> was great, ranging from 1 to 897 days, with the median total number of days being 23. This vast range may be explained by the daily transition from a lower concentration to progressively higher concentrations to meet the patients desired requirements. In addition, to a patient's slow clinical recovery.

Strengths of this large retrospective observational audit include the time period of observation (over 3 <sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub> years), the use of EMR to retrieve the data and the inclusivity of capturing all patients who were solely managed at a single tertiary paediatric medical centre and who were commenced on Peptamen Junior® over this period. Retrospective data collection also ensures no bias in the formula being prescribed to measure the true efficacy of its use in a variety of different medical conditions and patient groups. Retrospective data



collection is also a limitation of the audit when data is missing or poorly recorded, resulting in outcome measures of total caloric intake and nutritional markers such as weight, height and body mass index (BMI) unable to be reported.

In conclusion, Peptamen Junior® is prescribed for a variety of medical conditions with complex pathologies that are predominantly related to gastrointestinal tract diseases/disorders. Currently, there is limited evidence for the use of semi elemental formulas in paediatric patients. This audit suggests a population of wider scope of use in a more diverse group of medical conditions than currently indicated on the PBS schedule. Further randomised controlled studies would better define the role of semi elemental formulas in a variety of paediatric medical conditions.

#### **Statement of Authorship**

K. Ford and Dr H. Gilbertson contributed equally to the concept and design of the research. K. Ford contributed to the acquisition, analysis and interpretation of the data and drafted the manuscript. All authors revised the manuscript and agreed to be fully accountable for ensuring the integrity and accuracy of the work and read and approved the final manuscript.

#### Financial Disclosure

Project officer received Funding to complete this project by Nestle Health Science.

#### **Conflicts Disclosure**

None declared.

### **Ethics Statement**

Ethical Approval received from The Royal Children's Hospital Melbourne Human Research Ethics Committee (HREC). Reference number QA/54022/ RCHM-219).

#### References

 Mehta N.M, Corkins M.R, Lyman B et al. Defining pediatric malnutrition: a paradigm shift toward etiology-related definitions. JPEN 2013: 37(4), 460-480.

- Cederholm T, Barazzoni R, Austin P et al. ESPEN guidelines on definitions and terminology of clinical nutrition. Clinical Nutrition. 2017: 36, 49-64
- Zadak Z and Kent-Smith L. Basics in clinical nutrition: commercially prepared formula. E-SPEN, the European e-journal of Clinical Nutrition and Metabolism. 2009: 4, 212-215
- Alexander D.D, Bylsma L.C, Elkayam L, Nguyen D.L. Nutritional and health benefits of semi-elemental diets: A comprehensive summary of the literature. World J Gastrointestinal Pharmacology and Therapeutics. 2016: 7(2), 306-319.
- Leonard M, Caldari D, Mas E et al. Experience of using a semi elemental formula for home enteral nutrition in children: A multi enter cross-sectional study. JPGN 2019: 68(4), 585-590
- Morifuji M, Koga J, Kawanaka K, Higuchi M. Branched-chain amino acid -containing dipeptides, identified from whey protein hydrolysates, stimulate glucose uptake rate in L6 myotubes and isolated skeletal muscles. J Nutr Sci Vitaminol. 2009: 55, 81-86
- Yang C.H, Perumpail B.J, Yoo E.R etc et al. Nutritional needs and support for children with chronic liver disease. Nutrients. 2017: 9, 1127
- 8. Polk D.B, Hattner J.A, Kerner Jr J.A. Improved growth and disease activity after intermittent administration of a defined formula diet in children with crohns disease. JPEN. 1992:16, 499-504
- Hussey TA et al. Nutrition therapy in pediatric crohn's disease patients improves nutritional status and decreases inflammation. J Pediatr Gastr Nutr. 2003: 37, 341-342
- Abad-Sinden A and Sutphen J. Nutritional management of pediatric short bowel syndrome. Practical Gastroenterology. 2003: 12, 28-48
- 11. D'Antiga L and Goulet O. Intestinal failure in children: the European View. JPGN 2013:56(2), 118-126
- 12. DeLegg MH. Enteral feeding. Current Opinion in Gastroenterology. 2008:24(2), 184-189

**Penoccess**Pub

- 13. Saxby N., Painter C., Kench A., King S., Crowder T., van der Haak N. and the Australian and New Zealand Cystic Fibrosis Nutrition Guideline Authorship Group (2017).Nutrition guidelines for cystic fibrosis in Australia and New Zealand ed. Scott Bell. Thoracic Society of Australia and New Zealand, Sydney. 2017.
- 14. Erskine J.M, Lingard C.D, Sontag M.K, Accurso F.J. Enteral nutrition for patients with cystic fibrosis: comparison of a semi-elemental and non-elemental formula. J Paediatr. 1998: 132, 265-269
- Schwarzenberg S.J, Hempstead S.E, McDonald C.M et al. Enteral tube feeding for individuals with cystic fibrosis: Cystic Fibrosis Foundation evidence-informed guideline. J Cyst Fibrosis. 2016: 15, 724-735
- 16. Savage K, Kritas S, Schwarzer A, Davidson G, Omari T. Whey vs casein-based enteral formula and gastrointestinal function in children with cerebral palsy. JPEN 2012. 36, 118S-123S
- 17. Khoshoo V, Sun S.S, Strom H. Tolerance of an enteral formula with insoluble and prebiotic fiber in children with compromised gastrointestinal function. J Am Diet Assoc. 2010: 110, 1728-1733
- 18. Romano C, van Wynckel M, Hulst J et al. European Society for Pediatric Gastroenterology, Hepatology and Nutrition Guidelines for the evaluation and treatment of gastrointestinal and nutritional complications in children with neurological impairments. JPGN:65(2) 2017, 242-264
- Puntis JWL, Hojsak I, Ksiazyk J. ESPGHAN/ESPEN/ ESPR/CSPEN guidelines on pediatric parenteral nutrition: Organisational aspects. Clinical Nutrition: 37 2018, 2392-2400